This survey is to help us improve the quality of future events. Your honest and constructive feedback is very much appreciated and will be used to help us improve future events.

Please rate the following elements of the event

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Very Poor</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Acceptable</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Very Good</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The level of new information received</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The networking opportunities provided</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The networking outcomes you achieved</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of information provided before the event</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of information provided during the event</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your overall satisfaction with the event</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I enjoyed today’s event because:

- Informative, excellent equipment, focused, clear outcomes
- Improved ready access
- Clarification of the Adjunct position
- Opportunity to reappraise an important & valuable association
- It gave me an opportunity to start relationships with significant members of staff that could forge benefits and expectations
- Speed-dating
- This event needed to be done and it clearly helped those who are less connected to CSU
- It was well planned
- Inter-action - speed dating/groups
- Friendliness
- Willingness to obtain feedback from adjuncts
- As an adjunct Senior Lecturer from I & I NSW – it has been a great opportunity to see who is doing what at CSU.
- The speed-dating exercise was a valuable way to meet new CSU people
- I understand how much CSU values its adjunct staff
- They (CSU) are willing to get more from the relationship – benefits for HVAs & CSU
- It gave me the opportunity to find out much more about CSU & meet many great people
- It exceeded expectation – was unsure of focus prior to today
- Of the range of people attending and the good representation from CSU – particularly of senior people
- Of the overview of the faculty and its schools
- Inspired by networking and ideas presented
- Opportunities to network
- Great positive “can do” environment
- I met some new contacts
- Progress made toward improving relationship between adjuncts and CSU
- Speed-dating session very good – met some interesting people – diverse backgrounds
- The bounce and positive nature of the people and their attitudes
- Networking and professional development opportunity

### I found the following presentations particularly useful:
- All beneficial, would not discriminate, gave broad based picture of the faculty with focus on specific schools
- Increased perspective of the inner workings of the university
- They were all valuable one way or another
- All presentations were useful
- The Speed – dating worked well
- All presentations useful including those outside my professional area ie good to hear what is going on at CSU
- Andrea Bishop’s – clear
- Max Finlayson – honest statement that this institute is trying to figure out who they are, what they are doing and why they are doing it
- Jin Hardie – most polished
- Nick Klomp’s update on what is happening nationally in the university sector
- Paul Prenzler, Deidre Lemerle, Nick Klomp, Rod Pope, Myo Win
- Nick Klomp – excellent overview – inclusive style
- Ted Wolfe – very entertaining but relevant
- Speed – dating, group discussion
- Myo Win’s comparison of Uni/industry
- CIH
- Dr Myo Win – relevant, vibrant, entertaining
- Would love more on industry issues
- Sub Dean Honours and Grad Students – Paul Prenzler
- Ted Wolfe and Myo Win
- Two adjuncts on their attitude to being an adjunct
- Centre directors – did not know linkages

### Today would have been more beneficial to me if:
- There was time to address expectations and benefits to be discussed and agreements reached, or at least a plan/timeline for activities or contacts to be initiated.
- I had a list of attendees (the email list helped)
- The speed dating would have been more effective if physically spread out across a couple of rooms, because the noise levels were quite high and many had difficulty hearing well. Too crowded.
- More specific to school of practice
- The speed dating had included interaction between the HVA as well as between HVA and CSU
- More grouping in interest areas
- It was just the Animal & Vet Sc Faculty and staff and the Honorary Adjuncts

### The following presentations were not useful:
- IC Water – almost unintelligible due to rapid, highly accented English. A “snow job”
- Mohsin Hafeez – difficult to understand so relevance unknown
- Max Finlayson(ILWS) – relevance to HVAs??
- Workshop going over time as I had other commitments
| I found the group discussion time useful | YES -15 | NO -1 |
| I found the ‘Speed Dating’ session useful | Excellent -1 | YES - 17 | NO |

**Would you like to see today’s event repeated**

| Every year - 9 | Every 2 years - 7 | Should not be repeated |

*Either every year or every 2 depending on the follow up and actions arising from today’s feedback ie need to reflect on activities in the next 12 months which would then decide future events and how often to repeat event*

**I would like to see future events that focus on:**

- Focus on achievements of adjuncts & contribution to research, today’s presentations were instructive
- Increased engagement with CSU Research program
- Mentoring, firming expectations with a formalised site explaining position, expectations and contacts for adjunct staff
- One Stop Shop for adjuncts, FAQ, research linkages
- Training
- Similar – a forum on how to sustain and improve communication
- Attaching good PHD students (co-supervision) – opportunities, funding options, screening candidates
- Specific discipline info – ie School not faculty
- School HVA meetings 1-2 times per year
- Opportunities to hear/work in interest areas
- Faculty orientated events to allow adjuncts to meet more with individual staff within – not so broad as today
- Process of renewing/discontinuing adjunct status

**Do you have any other comments on this event?**

- Enjoyed the day, particularly the opportunity to meet potential colleagues
- I ma not sure of the value of lumping Honorary and visiting staff with Adjunct. I am very sure of the benefit of separating the latter form the first two when the title is sufficient definition
- Great way to kick-start a more pro-active approach to harnessing expertise and creating a mutually beneficial situation
- Enjoyable day
- Speed dating technique – great technique for getting to know people
- Big difference between HVAs – those still employed (time- poor perhaps) versus those who have retired (and more probably Uni staff during their working life)
- No serviettes – lack of plates but seriously well run and informative
- Lunch was excellent!
- Some people have commented on the value of getting office space, I have found this problematic at times
- It would be good to have a school based event
- Speed dating was better “one on one”, the pairing could have worked better if the HVAs were paired so their areas of interest didn’t overlap
- Some presentations were irrelevant to me – particularly re faculties that I never feel I will work with.
- Worthwhile day, good lunch
- Summary of feedback sessions of discussion groups were a bit repetitive